

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/647,864 | 08/25/2003 | Bhima Rao Vijayendran | BAT 0033 VA/12755 DIV | 3693 |
| 23368 7590 11/16/2007 DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP ONE DAYTON CENTRE, ONE SOUTH MAIN STREET | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | TENTONI, LEO B | |
| SUITE 1300 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| DAYTON, OH | | | 1791 | |
| | | • | r | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/16/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/647,864 | VIJAYENDRAN ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Leo B. Tentoni | 1791 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Se | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 September 2007</u> . | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | , | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-43</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed | | | | | |
| 5)∐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-43 is/are rejected | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-43</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement | | | | |
| | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | e | | | |

Number: 10/647,864 \

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12 September 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 does not further limit the subject matter of claim 1 because claim 1 (as amended) recites a moisture content range.

Application/Control Page 3 Number: 10/647,864

Art Unit: 1791

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-6 and 8-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riebel et al (U.S. Patent 5,593,625 A) in combination with Young et al (U.S. Patent 2,899,352 A).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,864

Art Unit: 1791

Riebel et al (see the entire document, in particular, the abstract; col. 1, lines 46-48; col. 3, lines 17-64; col. 5, lines 28-46; col. 6, lines 47-64; col. 7, lines 25-45; col. 11, line 64 to col. 12, line 17; col. 12, lines 56-58; col. 13, lines 22-24; col. 14, lines 21-37; col. 16, lines 39-51; col. 19, lines 17-47; col. 22, lines 31-41) teaches a process of making a cellulose fiber composite including the steps of mixing a protein hydrolysate (i.e., a legume-based resin) with cellulosic material, mixing this material with a synthetic resin (e.g., isocyanate resin, phenolic resin) (wherein the moisture content of the cellulosic material is reduced to less than about 20% after application of the résin binder; see col. 6, lines 47-64 of Riebel et al) to form a cellulosic material/resin binder blend, forming the blend into a shape and molding or pressing the shape to produce a cellulosic fiber composite. While Riebel et al does not explicitly teach the exact order of mixing the materials as set forth in independent claims 1 and 42, there is no demonstrated criticality in the order of mixing, the open language (e.g., "comprising") of the claims does not limit the order of mixing, and the process of Riebel et al results in the same cellulosic material/resin binder blend as set forth in the instant claims. Riebel et al does not explicitly teach the step

Application/Control Page 5

Number: 10/647,864

Art Unit: 1791

of felting. Young et al (see the entire document, in particular, col. 1, lines 13-19; col. 2, lines 11-64; col. 6, lines 69-72) teaches a process of making a cellulose fiber composite including the step of felting, and such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the process of Riebel et al in view of Young et al principally in order to manufacture a desired cellulose fiber composite product (e.g., a structural board).

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed on 12 September 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Applicant argues that Riebel et al teaches a moisture content of between 55% and 75%, whereas the instant process recites a moisture content of between about 8% and about 35%. Examiner responds that Riebel et al teaches a moisture content of less than 20% (see col. 6, lines 47-64 of Riebel et al; note also that in Example 2 of Riebel et al (referred to by applicant), the moisture content is 11% prior to pressing). Even if Riebel et al use a drying step, or use a longer pressing step, this teaching of Riebel et al meets the claimed limitation of moisture content of the cellulosic material after application of the resin binder

Number: 10/647,864

Art Unit: 1791

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina A. Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Page 7
Number: 10/647,864

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leo B. Tentoni

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791

lbt